

Simone D'Angelo Control techniques for tilting unmanned aerial manipulators for in-contact nondestructive testing

Tutor: Prof. Bruno Siciliano co-Tutor: Prof. Fabio Ruggiero

Cycle: XXXVII Year: Third

Candidate's information

- MSc degree in Automation Engineering
- Group: **PRISMA Lab (UNINA)**
- PhD start end date: $1/11/2021 31/10/2024$
- University Scholarship: "**Semi-autonomous Interaction Control of Robotics Systems"** – funded by **DIETI**
- Period abroad: 2 months at **Toronto Metropolitan University** (TMU) and 3 months at **Technical University of Denmark** (DTU)

Summary of study activities

• Some **courses** attended in these 3 years:

- Statistical data analysis for science and engineering research
- Academic entrepreneurship
- Control of Complex Systems and Networks
- Operational Research: Mathematical Modelling, Methods and Software Tools for Optimization Problems
- Model Predictive control
- Semantic artifacts and Multimedia knowledge graphs for biodata integration
- **PhD schools** attended in these 3 years:
	- IEEE RAS Summer School on Multi Robot Systems in Prague
	- 2023 Spring School in Transferable Skills

Summary of study activities

• Some **seminars** attended in these 3 years:

- IEEE Authorship and Open Access Symposium: Tips and Best Practices to get published from IEEE Editors
- Game Theory for Information Engineering
- Is control a solved problem for aerial robotics research?
- NDT in contesto aeronautico
- Exploring Advanced Aerial Robotics: A journey into cutting-edge projects and neural control
- Analytic center selection of optimization-based controllers for robot ecology

Research area(s)

- Compared to the past, today, robot actions are no longer a predetermined sequence of movements
- Their actions are performed automatically thanks to a **control system** that governs motion in relation to what is happening in the environment.
- Robotics defined as:

Intelligent connection between perception and action

• My research focus is to apply this concept to allow an aerial robot to complete a contact-based inspection task with an interaction surface in an industrial setting

• **Why drones:**

– Thanks to their agility and dimensions, they can operate in various hard-toreach environments improving human safety.

Research area(s)

- To this purpose, scientists have devised various methods to enable robots to interact with the environment proposing:
	- **New hardware**
		- Tilting/tilted drones
		- UAM equipped with rigid stick or articulated arms

– **New control methods**

- Model-based controller
	- Indirect/direct control laws
	- Optimization techniques
- Reinforcement Learning
- **Different onboard sensors**

Research results

• Focus on two main platform with tilting capabilities

Aerobull drone: prototype developed at DTU **NDT** drone: platform developed at PRISMA Lab

- Investigated and implemented state-of-the-art solutions
- Proposed solutions enhancing shared-control and inspection completion
- Investigated advantages of shared-control over autonomous task execution via Human subjects' study

Published Research products

Under-review Research products

PhD thesis overview

• **Problem statement:**

Design and implement control strategies to allow a drone to complete a contactbased inspection task (pushing only or push-and-slide)

• **Objective:**

- 1. Design and modeling of ad-hoc platforms
- 2. Design Implement state-of-the-art solution
- 3. Parallel control laws accounting for different sensor fusion
- 4. Design optimization techniques accounting for friction constraint during the interaction

• **Methodology:**

- Combine standard approaches in hybrid and parallel control law
- Leverage on model predictive control
- Use of human-guided device in a shared-control paradigm
- Statistical data analysis to evaluate notable metrics

PhD thesis: system description

PhD thesis: system description

PhD thesis: system description

PhD thesis

• Existing flat and tilting/tilted UAV feature a **fixed** center of mass

• To decrease the momentum arm, improve stabilization and force exertion the **AEROBULL** drone is introduced

PhD thesis: Impedance control

- No force error loop closure
- Exerted force on the work surface: 28N (drone weight: \approx 3 kg)
- Exerted force without the shifting-mass procedure: 15N

PhD thesis: Parallel techniques

- Fusing motion control and direct force control laws
- Force error loop closure
	- Precise force tracking
- Omnidirectional platform is dexterous, but it increases complexity

Parallel force-position Control

Development of a semi-autonomous framework for NDT inspection with a tilting aerial platform

Salvatore Marcellini, Simone D'Angelo, Alessandro De Crescenzo, Michele Marolla, Vincenzo Lippiello and Bruno Siciliano

> PRISMA Lab Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology University of Naples Federico II www.prisma.unina.it

Parallel force-impedance Control

Simone D'Angelo

- Visual-servoing outperforms classical Cartesian motion control
- Existing literature focus only on standard drones and indirect force control in the image space
- First time applying parallel force/vision control to tilting UAM with direct force control in a shared-control paradigm
	- Needed for shared-autonomy in real industrial scenario
	- Allowing precise force tracking
	- Use of a dexterous platform
	- Development of a training environment for specialized and non-experienced users
- User study on the effectiveness of the introduced control modalities

• **Simulated context** is crucial for addressing challenges faced by non-experienced users, who may fail to execute tasks correctly by moving outside the field of view or occluding the vision, thus losing the only available source of information.

Camera point-of-view (PoV):

- 1. The surface is detected through an **AprilTag** marker. The UAM tracks the triangular shapes while interacting:
- 2. Tracking results in the **autonomous** control mode
- 3. Tracking results in the **teleoperated** control mode.

Simulated context is crucial for addressing challenges faced by non-experienced users, who may fail to execute tasks correctly by moving outside the field of view or occluding the vision, thus losing the only available source of information.

Camera point-of-view (PoV):

- 1. The surface is detected through an **AprilTag** marker. The UAM tracks the triangular shapes while interacting:
- 2. Tracking results in the **autonomous** control mode
- 3. Tracking results in the **teleoperated** control mode.
- 4. Haptic device entering the loop

Evaluated Control modes:

- **P**: autonomous sliding + teleoperated pushing
- **S**: teleoperated sliding + autonomous pushing
- **PS**: teleoperated pushing + sliding

Haptic feedback modes:

- **VF:** presence of haptic feedback
- **VF:** absence of haptic feedback

Combining control and haptic modes leads to six conditions:

• P, PVF, S, SVF, PS, PSVF

PhD thesis: Human subject study

- 20 human subjects (18 male, 2 female)
- Mixed between experienced and beginners in interfacing with the hardware and the simulation
- Randomized sequence of trials
- Evaluated via **quantitative** (logs) and **qualitative metrics** (questionnaire)

ANOVA statistical data analysis results

- Sliding feature identified as the most **challenging** and **time-consuming** to accomplish manually
- Autonomous sliding affects the pushing force mean evaluated along the trajectory
- Adding force feedback during manual control reduces force error compared to autonomous pushing without feedback
- Generation of higher commanded velocities in sliding-based modalities

PhD thesis: extension to parallel MPC

- Model prediction Control techniques allow definition of constraints to be satisfied to achieve the control goal
- Friction Constraints defined as Coulomb Friction Cone:
	- Ensuring contact $F_N>0$
	- Avoiding slippery on the surface (a)
	- Force the sliding remaining on the cone border (b)

 $||F_T|| \leq \mu F_N$

Thank you for your attention

